Petition re the lane branching from Rajakkamangalam-Colachel road and leading to Tekkukurichi.

116. **MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR** (*Agastisvaram*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether they have received a petition from the people of Tekkukurichi in Neendakara B. Division, about a *Poramboke* lane branching from the Rajakkamangalam-Colachel road and leading to Tekkukurichi;

(*b*) whether it is 3 furlongs in length;

(*c*) its breadth;

(*d*) whether the private owners on either side of the lane have made encroachments;

(*e*) whether in one place the lane is completely blocked;

(*f*) whether the people urged for a local inspection; and

(*g*) if the answer to part (*f*) is in the affirmative, the action taken by the Government thereon?

MR. C. N. SRIRENGANATHA SASTRI (*Ag. Secretary to Government*):

(*a*) The Land Revenue and Income-Tax Commissioner received a petition on 16-11-1932 from Sivanadiyan Nadar Ammayadian Nadar and others of Tekkukurchi about certain encroachments on a *poramboke* lane branching from Rajakkamangalam-Colachel road and leading to Tekkukurichi.

(*b*) No, it is only about two furlongs in length.

(*c*) The lane is not of uniform width.

(*d*) Yes, some private owners on either side of the lane have made encroachments.

(*e*) It is reported that the lane has been blocked a little in survey No. 13,559.

(*f*) In the petition referred to in the answer to part (*a*), they urge for a local inspection.

(*g*) The Tahsildar has orders to inspect the lane and to take steps for the immediate removal of the encroachments under the provisions of the Land Conservancy Regulation.

Recovery of demand notice fees in the Mavelikara and Kartikapalli taluks during 1104-1106.

117. **MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN** (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the amounts realised under demand notice fees in the Mavelikara and Kartikapalli taluks in 1104, 1105 and 1106;

(*b*) the number of demand notices in the above two taluks for which fees have not been recovered in 1106, in pursuance of G. O. R. O. C. No. 2764/31/Rev., dated 14-7-31;

(*c*) whether demand notice fees have been recovered in 1107 for any of the cases referred to in part (*b*), and, if so, the number of such cases;

(*d*) whether all the fees realised under part (*a*) for the second half of 1106 were treated as advance payment for the first instalment of 1107;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly